Communications to the Editor

on transition state theory lead to the postulate of the diyl as an intermediate must be addressed by their proponents. It may be conceivable that a symmetrical, delocalized species is an intermediate in the 3,3 shift, but this would represent a unique situation not previously considered in hydrocarbon thermal isomerizations.

It should also be noted that MINDO calculations¹¹ and McIver's rules¹² predict an unsymmetrical transition state in the Diels-Alder reaction, but Thornton's multiplicative deuterium kinetic isotope effects in a retro-Diels-Alder reaction suggest a symmetrical transition state.^{13,14}

Finally, the notion that substitution at various positions can alter the geometry of the transition state in any 3,3 shift is an important one which rationalizes not only the increase in rate of 2- and 2,5-phenyl materials but the rate increases owing to substitution at C_3 and C_4 . These transition-state changes are also reflected by secondary deuterium kinetic isotope effects.^{15,16}

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support.

References and Notes

- (1) For a review, see S. J. Rhoads and R. N. Rawlins, Org. React., 22, 1 (1975).
- (a) C. A. Grob, H. Link, and P. Schiess, *Helv. Chem. Acta*, **46**, 483 (1963); (b) W. von E. Doering, V. G. Toscano, and G. H. Beasley, *Tetrahedron*, **27**, (2)5294 (1971).
- (3) (a) A. Komornicki and J. W. Mclver, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 4553 (1976); (b) M. J. S. Dewar, G. P. Ford, M. L. McKee, H. R. Zepa, and L. E. Wade, ibid., 99, 5069 (1977).
- (4) J. W. McIver, Jr., Acc. Chem. Res., 7, 72 (1974).
- (5) M. J. S. Dewar and L. E. Wade, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 290 (1973); 99, 4417 (1977).
- (6) W. von E. Doering and W. R. Roth, Tetrahedron, 18, 67 (1962)
- R. K. Hill and N. W. Gilman, *Chem. Commun.*, 619 (1967).
 R. Hoffmann and R. B. Woodward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 87, 4389 (1965).
 (a) L. A. Paquette and J. A. Schwartz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 92, 3215 (1970); (b) W. R. Roth, unpublished data cited by R. G. Bergman in "Free Radicals" J. K. Kochi, Ed., Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1973; (č) M. Goldstein and M. S. Benzon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 7147 (1972).
- (10) M. Goldstein and M. S. Benzon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 5119 (1972); this paper allows that BCH can undergo both concerted bridgehead double inversion and concerted cleavage
- (11) M. J. S. Dewar, A. C. Griffen, and S. Kirschner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 6225 (1974),
- (12) J. W. McIver, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 4782 (1972); Acc. Chem. Res., 72 (1974).
- (13) M. Taagepera and E. R. Thornton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 1168 (1972). A possible source of the theoretical difficulties in MINDO calculations is
- discussed by P. Carmella, K. N. Houk, and L. Domelsmith, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4511 (1977).
- (15) J. J. Gajewski and N. D. Conrad, J. Am. Chem. Soc., following paper in this issue.
- (16) With good radical stabilizing substituents at C2 and C5 of 1,5-hexadiene, thermochemical estimates similar to those above suggest that a cyclohexane-1,4-diyl might be accessible in the 3,3 shift.

Joseph J. Gajewski,* Neal D. Conrad

Contribution No. 3183 Department of Chemistry, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401 Received April 25, 1978

Variable Transition-State Structure in the Cope **Rearrangement as Deduced from Secondary Deuterium Kinetic Isotope Effects**

Sir:

An accompanying communication demonstrates that the 3,3 shift in 1,5-hexadiene (the Cope rearrangement) does not proceed via cyclohexane-1,4-diyl, but by the only alternative yet proposed, namely, a concerted reaction via a single transition state in which there is partial bonding between C_1 and C_6 and between C_3 and C_4 .¹ While the gross geometry of this transition state has been demonstrated by Doering and Roth and by Hill to be a "chair",² the question of the magnitude of



the partial bonds between the allylic moieties still must be resolved. While we cannot answer this question in an absolute sense, previous work with substituted materials, herein further elaborated with secondary deuterium kinetic isotope effects (KIE's), suggests a dramatic change in the magnitudes of these partial bonds as a function of substituents.

It is well known that good radical-stabilizing substituents not only on C₃ and C₄ but on C₂ and C₅ of 1,5-hexadiene accelerate the rate of the 3,3 shift.⁴ In the former case the rate response suggests that the transition state more resembles two allyl radicals, while in the latter case it more resembles cyclohexane-1,4-diyl. Indeed, these two extremes represent, to a first approximation, the range of transition-state structures available to the 3,3 shift.^{4b} These two extremes represent a range of weak to large coupling of two allyl radicals. A convenient representational device to depict this range of transition-state structures is a More O'Ferrall-Jencks diagram⁵ whose structural axes are the C_1-C_6 and the C_3-C_4 bond orders.^{4b,6} The free-energy coordinate might be represented by contours which are best not included for sake of clarity. However, the relative free energies of the extremes with respect to 1,5-hexadiene, at 200 °C, can be guessed to a crude approximation from group additivities.⁷ It is also true that the transition-state free-energy for diyl cleavage is 53 kcal/mol above 1,5-hexadiene;1 so a high-energy ridge surrounds the diyl but the exact location of the ridge is unknown.



Within the context of this diagram and discussions surrounding it, stabilization of either of the radical extremes should draw the transition-state structure toward the more stable alternative,⁵ and so secondary deuterium KIE's, which respond primarily to changes in force constants which to first approximation reflect bonding changes, ought to reveal these changes.⁸

The KIE's at C_4 and C_6 in the irreversible rearrangement of a 1,2-dialkyl-3,3-dicyano-1,5-hexadiene have been determined, and the normal KIE at C_4 is three times the inverse KIE at C_{6} .⁹ In work described below the normal KIE at C_{3} and C_{4} in nearly unperturbed acyclic systems is roughly one half to two thirds that of the inverse KIE at C_1 and C_6 , while in 2phenyl-1,5-hexadiene the normal KIE at C3 and C4 is roughly one third of the inverse KIE at C_1 and C_6 . Finally, the normal KIE at C₃ and C₄ of 2,5-diphenyl-1,5-hexadiene is roughly one eighth of the inverse KIE at C_1 and C_6 . Thus the transition-

© 1978 American Chemical Society

Table I. Secondary Deuterium KIE's in Various 3,3 Shifts^a



^a The standard deviations were determined as indicated in footnote 12. ^b Reference 9. ^c Despite the favorable error analysis, we have no confidence in these since k_7 and k_8 are only $\frac{1}{10}-\frac{1}{30}$ th of k_5 and k_6 . Large variations in k_7 or k_8 will affect the calculated concentrations much less than similar variations in k_5 and k_6 .

state structures, as deduced by changes in the relative KIE's, vary as predicted above.¹⁰

The KIE's are shown in Table I; the rate constants for the three-component reversible reactions were determined by a SIMPLEX^{11a,b} fit to the data using the integrated rate expressions of Frey and Solly.^{11c} Each reaction was sampled roughly 12 times and each sample was analyzed at least 4 times using GC and an electronic integrator giving reproducibility of $\pm 1\%$. The diphenyl-1,5-hexadiene reaction was monitored by HR-220 ¹H NMR spectroscopy which gave reproducibility in integrations of $\pm 1\%$.

Since no functional relationship between secondary KIE's and bond-order changes is available, the absolute location of the various transition states on the diagram are unknown. However, if the relationship is nearly linear,¹³ then the behavior of most degenerate 3,3 shifts can be reasonably rationalized,¹⁴ recognizing that the transition states should resemble the nonconcerted alternative, i.e., two allyl radicals or cyclohexane-1,4-diyl, that is more stable.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation for financial support and Mr. Dennis Nollen who provided assistance in adapting SIMPLEX to the kinetic scheme and also determined numerous deuterium nuclear magnetic resonance spectra.

References and Notes

- (1) J. J. Gajewski and N. D. Conrad, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, preceding paper in this issue.
- (2) W. von E. Doering and W. R. Roth, Tetrahedron, 18, 67 (1962); R. K. Hill and N. W. Gilman, Chem. Commun., 619 (1967).
- (3) For a review, see S. J. Rhoads and R. N. Rawlins, *Org. React.*, 22, 1 (1975).
 (4) (a) M. J. S. Dewar and L. E. Wade, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 95, 290 (1972); 99,
- (4) (a) M. J. S. Dewar and L. E. Wade, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **95**, 290 (1972); **99**, 4417 (1977); (b) R. Wehrli, H. Schmid, D. E. Belluš, and H. J. Hansen, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **60**, 1325 (1977).
- (5) See T. H. Lowry and K. S. Richardson, "Mechanism and Theory in Organic Chemistry", Harper and Row, New York, N.Y., 1976, Chapter 2, Section 6.
- (6) Clearly other bond orders are changing beside those of the diagram, although the total bonding at C₂ and C₅ as measured by secondary deuterium KIE's at these sites does not appear to change; i.e., *meso*-3,4-dimethyl-1,5-hexadiene-2,5-d₂ reacts only 1.5 ± 0.5 % slower than the protio material.
- (7) S. W. Benson, "Thermochemical Kinetics", 2nd ed, Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1976.

- (8) See references In G. W. Burton, L. B. Sims, J. C. Wilson, and A. Fry, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 3371 (1977), and in M. Taagepera and E. R. Thornton, *ibid.*, 94, 1168 (1972).
- (9) K. Humski, R. Malojčić, S. Borčić, and D. E. Sunko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 6534 (1970).
- (10) The bond-making and bond-breaking KIE's in the reversible 3,3 shifts are determined by examining only one bond of the transition state as approached from reactants and products. As long as the transition state is symmetrical as demanded by the considerations of ref 1 and by near-equivalent stabilities of reactant and product, the KIE's in the forward and reverse direction—which must be related by an equilibrium isotope effect—reflect the bond-making and bond-breaking KIE's.
- (11) (a) S. N. Deming and S. L. Morgan, *Anal. Chem.*, **45**, 278A (1973); **46**, 1170 (1974), (b) V. J. Shiner, D. A. Nollen, and K. Humski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, submitted for publication. (c) H. M. Frey and R. V. Solly, *Trans. Faraday. Soc.*, **64**, 1858 (1968).
- (12) The standard devlations in the rate constants for the reversible threecomponent system were approximated as follows: the three-component scheme was assumed to be similar to a two-component, reversible, firstorder scheme so that the standard deviations in the sum of the four rate constants was found from the residuals of the experimental and SIMPLEX calculated concentrations (~1%); the standard deviation in the sum of the two forward rate constants, e.g., $k_1 + k_3$, were found using, in addition, the analytical error in the determination of the analysis of equilibrium concentrations (~1%); the standard error in each forward rate constant, e.g., k_1 or k_3 , was estimated using, in addition, the average error in the analysis of the two products; and the error in each back rate constant, e.g., k_2 or k_4 , was determined using in addition the estimated sum in the analysis of the equilibrium concentrations.

$$\ln (A - A_{\rm e})/(A_0 - A_{\rm e}) = (k_{\rm f} + k_{\rm b})t$$

- (13) While we realize that a complete force-field analysis is useful in more carefully assigning the origin and magnitudes of these KIE's and that KIE's are not necessarily simply related to the bond orders in question, most literature suggests that our assumption is a reasonable starting point for more detailed analysis.
- (14) For instance, the entropy of activation of acyclic boat 3,3 shifts is much less negative than chair 3,3 shifts,¹⁵ indicating that the transition state is looser and more two-allyl radical-like; this is because the boat-like diyl should be 5–10 kcal/mol less stable than the chair-like diyl, while the relative stabilities of the two allyl radicals in boat and chair geometries should be much less affected. The full paper will consider the myriad of other observations which are rationalizable in this framework.
- (15) M. Goldstein and M. Benzon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 94, 7147 (1972); K. J. Shea and R. B. Phillips, *ibid.*, 100, 654 (1978).

Joseph J. Gajewski,* Neal D. Conrad

Contribution No. 3184 Department of Chemistry, Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401 Received April 25, 1978

An Unusual Xenon Cation Containing Xenon–Nitrogen Bonds

Sir:

The earlier synthesis of $FXeN(SO_2F)_2^{1}$ from XeF_2 and $HN(SO_2F)_2$ demonstrated that xenon was capable of forming bonds to elements other than oxygen and fluorine under ordinary laboratory conditions. Since this report there have been no further examples of new compounds of this type. We have been working to provide additional examples of xenon-nitrogen bonds and to prepare the first xenon-carbon bond. Recently, we have been successful in the preparation of several new xenon-nitrogen species, thus eliminating the possibility that $FXeN(SO_2F)_2$ is unique. This work describes one of these new compounds, an unusual complex salt containing a dinuclear xenon cation with xenon-nitrogen bonds.

After the synthesis of $FXeN(SO_2F)_2$, we looked for ways to further identify the xenon-nitrogen bond. Because the xenon-fluorine bond in $FXeN(SO_2F)_2$ appears to be very similar to that in XeF_2 , the canonical form $FXe^+N(SO_2F)_2^$ does not appear to dominate the bonding. It therefore seemed reasonable that $FXeN(SO_2F)_2$ might react with a strong Lewis acid, such as AsF_5 , in the following way:

 $FXeN(SO_2F)_2 + AsF_5 \rightarrow (FO_2S)_2NXe^+AsF_6^-$

A 1:1 adduct is indeed formed, but it is unstable and we have been unable to determine its structure. We have found that the

© 1978 American Chemical Society